arXiv:1001.1189v1 [hep-ph] 8 Jan 2010

A Problem in Virtual Graviton exchanges in Flat Large Extra Dimensions

Haruka Namatame*

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

Abstract

It is pointed out in a class of models with large extra dimensions that the cross section of processes with virtual Kaluza-Klein graviton exchanges becomes either much smaller or much larger by many orders of magnitude than what is expected from that of the on-shell production of the Kaluza-Klein gravitons. We demonstrate how the problem arises using a toy model. The cause of this new problem lies in the fact that we do not have momentum conservation in the extra dimensions. To search for the signal of the large extra dimensions with high energy collider experiments, we need more care in interpreting the earlier results on the cross sections of these processes.

PACS numbers:

---

*Electronic address: `haruka@phys.metro-u.ac.jp`

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to solve the hierarchy problem between the electroweak scale and the Planck scale, extra space dimensions can play an important role. The scenario of large extra dimensions, which was proposed by Antoniadis [1–4] is very simple. In this scenario, we assume that the Standard Model (SM) particles live on a three-dimensional hypersurface and the only graviton lives in the whole higher dimensional space.

The simplest and explicit model with this scenario is the one by Arkani-hamed, Dimopoulos, and Dvali (ADD) [5, 6]. ADD have introduced a δ-dimensional flat extra space which is compactified on a δ-dimensional torus with common radius R. In this model, the Planck scale M_p in our four-dimensional space time is given by the fundamental Plank scale M_f in the whole $4+\delta$-dimensional spacetime and radius R of the extra dimensions as

$$M_p^2 = 8\pi R^\delta M_f^{2+\delta}. \tag{1}$$

If R is large enough, we can take the fundamental Planck scale M_f to be a few TeV, which naturally explains the hierarchy between the energy scale of electroweak interaction and that of gravitational interaction.

Note that in the ADD model, localization of the SM particles on the three-dimensional hypersurface is described by a delta function. In this way, the three-dimensional hypersurface is treated as a complete rigid-body and the momentum in the extra dimensions is not conserved in the interaction between the higher dimensional graviton and the SM particles. The hypothesis of the complete rigid-body hypersurface leads to a explicit breaking of the translational symmetry in the extra dimensions [7].

Many massive gravitons, the Kaluza-Klein excitation modes of the graviton (KK gravitons), are contained in the four-dimensional effective theory of the ADD model. They can decay into the light SM particles. We can test the ADD model by searching for these massive gravitons directly or indirectly. The stringent constraint comes from the supernova SN1987a [8, 9]. Using this constraint, it was shown that δ has to be larger than two in order for the fundamental Plank scale M_f to be a few TeV. In the rest of this paper, we will assume that δ satisfies this constraint.

To test the ADD model at collider experiments, two types of the process have been studied. One is the real KK graviton emission process, and the other one is the virtual KK graviton exchange process. In case of a hadron collider, dominant channels are the real KK graviton emission process $pp \to$ jet + (missing) [10–12], and the virtual KK graviton exchange process $pp \to l\bar{l}, \gamma\gamma$ [13–15]. These studies show that the virtual KK graviton exchange processes are advantageous to search for signals of the ADD model, compared to the real KK graviton emission processes due to difficulty of identifying the missing energy carried by the KK gravitons.

However, as we will show below, the cross section of the virtual KK graviton exchange processes in the ADD model have the following problems:

(I) A problem of ultraviolet divergence of momentum integration in extra dimensions
The origin of this problem lies in the violation of the momentum conservation in extra dimensions. In Ref.[7], it was shown that we can naturally regularize this ultraviolet divergence by introducing a fluctuation of the three dimensional hypersurface.

(II) Breakdown of narrow width approximation[1]
This is a new problem that we point out in this paper. As we will see below, the narrow width approximation

$$\sigma(\text{full process}) = \sigma(X\ \text{production}) \times Br(X\ \text{decay}) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_X/m_X) \tag{2}$$

is not satisfied for the cross sections of the virtual KK graviton exchange processes. In eq.(2), σ(full process) and σ(X production) are the cross sections of the full process and production process of decaying particles, respectively, Γ_X stands for the decay width of the particle, and Br(X decay) is the branching ratio of the decay channel of X which is included in the full process. Eq.(2) is expected to hold in most processes under certain conditions to be described later. However, as we will see below, the cross section of such processes in past works (for example in Ref.[16, 17]) does not satisfy the approximate expression in eq.(2).

The origin of this problem lies in lack of the momentum conservation in extra dimensions. As we will see later, lack of the momentum conservation in the extra dimensions causes over counting of the extra dimensional phase space of the higher dimensional graviton or the summation over the KK index, and we can not obtain the on-shell contributions from the KK gravitons in the intermediate state (or the first term in eq.(2)) correctly even if we take the decay width of the KK gravitons into account. Although the cause of the problem (II) is the same as that of the problem (I), the problem (II) is independent from the problem (I). This is because the problem (II) appears even if we put the momentum cutoff to regularize the ultraviolet divergence, or even if we use the prescription in Ref.[7]. We will see more details of this problem later.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify the problem (II). We will show that how the problem appears in the virtual KK graviton exchange processes. For simplicity, we analyze these processes using a toy model in which tensor fields are replaced by scalar fields, because spin of the intermediate particles is not essential for the problem (II). To show that the problem (II) arises in the virtual KK graviton exchanges even if we regularize the pole divergence with its decay width to take care of the on-shell contribution of the KK gravitons, we analyze the virtual KK graviton exchange process putting its decay width into the denominator of its propagator to regularize the on-shell pole divergence.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the toy model, and show how we can calculate the cross section of virtual KK graviton exchanges in this model. In section 3, we explain how the problem occurs in the virtual KK graviton exchange processes. Moreover, we demonstrate that the same problem appears in the virtual KK graviton exchange processes with three final states. In section 4, we draw our conclusions.

II. VIRTUAL KALUZA-KLEIN GRAVITON EXCHANGES AND A TOY MODEL

First of all, we briefly review the KK graviton and its decay width in the ADD model. For more detail, see Ref.[16, 17].

---

[1] In Ref.[18], the same subject was discussed. However the main point in Ref.[18] is that the narrow width approximation would be broken down if the mass of one of the final state particles is close to that of the intermediate particle, because of a technical problem in the approximation method. In the present case, as we will see below, the problem appears irrespective of whether such condition is satisfied or not, and the situation is completely different from that in Ref.[18].

In the four-dimensional effective theory for the ADD model, the higher dimensional graviton whose momentum in the extra dimensions is $\vec{n}/R$ is treated as the massive KK graviton whose mass is $|\vec{n}|/R$ where $\vec{n}$ is a δ-dimensional number vector. The effective theory is valid only if the center of mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ and the mass of the KK graviton $|\vec{n}|/R$ are much smaller than the fundamental Planck scale, i.e., only if $\sqrt{s},\ |\vec{n}|/R \ll M_f$. These conditions give the cut off momentum to regularize the ultraviolet divergence of momentum in extra dimensions which derives from lack of dynamics for the localization of the SM particles in three-dimensional space dimension. These conditions also keep perturbation theory with respect to the gravitational vertex valid.

The interactions between the spin-2 KK gravitons and the SM particles are described by the interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{int} = -\frac{1}{\bar{M}_p}\sum_{\vec{n}} G^{(\vec{n})}{}_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}, \tag{3}$$

where $\bar{M}_p \equiv M_p/\sqrt{8\pi} \sim 10^{18}$GeV is the reduced planck mass, $G^{(\vec{n})}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is a field of the KK graviton whose mass is $|\vec{n}|/R$, and $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the SM particles. The summation of eq.(3) extends over all the KK modes whose mass is less than M_f. From the higher dimensional point of view, this summation corresponds to the momentum integration in the extra dimensions.

Main features of the KK gravitons in the ADD model are the following:

(i) Lack of the momentum conservation in the extra dimensions

In the interaction Lagrangian 3, the SM particles, which originally have zero momentum in the extra dimensions, couple to the KK gravitons, which originally have non-zero momentum in the extra dimensions, and the momenta in the extra dimensions are not conserved.

(ii) High degeneracy of the KK gravitons

The mass spectrum of the KK gravitons is highly degenerate. The number of the KK gravitons which have the same mass is equal to a number of KK index $\vec{n}$ which have same length. For example, the number of KK gravitons whose mass is 100 GeV is 10^{14}.

(iii) Smallness of the decay width

The KK gravitons can decay into standard model particles. Main decay channels are two photons, two gluons (two light mesons) and two light fermions. The total decay width of the KK gravitons $\Gamma(m)$ can be written as a following form

$$\Gamma(m) = N(m)\frac{m^3}{\bar{M}_p^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $N(m)$ is a step function of the mass of KK graviton m $(= |\vec{n}|/R)$. The function $N(m)$ is determined by the number and phase space of the decay channels, and is at most one. The total decay width of the KK gravitons are very small compared to their masses $(\Gamma(m)/m \sim m^2/\bar{M}_p^2 \ll 1)$[17].

For simplicity, we will discuss these features with a toy model in which the KK gravitons and the standard model gauge bosons are replaced by the KK scalars and massless scalars, respectively, because the spins of the particles and the structure of the vertices are not important for our purposes. The Lagrangian of the toy model is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \chi' \partial^\mu \chi' + \sum_{\vec{n}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi_{\vec{n}} \partial^\mu \phi_{\vec{n}} - \frac{1}{2} {m_{\vec{n}}}^2 {\phi_{\vec{n}}}^2 \right] + \sum_{\vec{n}} \left[-\frac{\lambda}{\bar{M}_p} \bar{\psi}\psi \phi_{\vec{n}} \chi' \right] + \sum_{\vec{n}} \left[-\frac{g}{2\bar{M}_p} \phi_{\vec{n}} \left(\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + \partial_\mu \chi' \partial^\mu \chi' \right) \right] , \tag{5}$$

where the fields $\phi_{\vec{n}}$ are KK scalars which correspond to the KK gravitons whose mass is $|\vec{n}|/R$, χ and χ' correspond to the standard model gauge bosons, ψ is a massless fermion, and the two coefficients of the interaction terms λ and g are real coupling constants.

The main decay modes of the KK scalars $\phi_{\vec{n}}$ are $\phi_{\vec{n}} \to \chi\chi, \chi'\chi'$. A partial decay width $\Gamma(m)$ of these modes is given by

$$\Gamma(m) = \frac{m^3}{128\pi} \left(\frac{g^2}{\bar{M}_p^2} \right) . \tag{6}$$

Another decay mode is $\phi_{\vec{n}} \to \psi\bar{\psi}\chi'$, but this decay mode is kinematically suppressed: $\Gamma(\phi_{\vec{n}} \to \psi\bar{\psi}\chi)/\Gamma(\phi_{\vec{n}} \to \chi\chi) < 10^{-3}$.

In the toy model, the KK graviton production process $pp \to \text{jet} + G$ is replaced by a $\phi_{\vec{n}}$ production process $\psi\bar{\psi} \to \phi_{\vec{n}}\ \chi'$, and the virtual KK graviton exchange process $pp \to l\bar{l}$ is by a virtual $\phi_{\vec{n}}$ exchange process $\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi'$.

III. BREAKDOWN OF NARROW WIDTH APPROXIMATION

In this section, to see the problem of the virtual KK graviton exchange processes, we calculate the cross section of the virtual KK scalar exchange processes in the toy model. To get a finite value of the cross section, we should analyze these process putting the decay width of the KK scalars into denominator of its propagator.

In the ADD model or the toy model, we can approximately treat the spectrum of the KK particle as a continuous one. Therefore for any value of $\sqrt{s} > 0$, there are KK states which can be on-shell. This result indicates that resonant effects are important for the virtual KK particle exchange processes.

A. Two body scattering via KK graviton

First, let us analyze the two-body scattering process via KK scalars $\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi'$. The amplitude of this process is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\Gamma(\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi') = \left(\frac{g}{\bar{M}_p} \right)^2 \left(\frac{s}{2} \right)^2 \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{i}{s - m^2 + im\Gamma(m)} , \tag{7}$$

and then the cross section of this process is given by

$$\sigma_\Gamma = \frac{1}{2^9\pi} \left(\frac{g}{\bar{M}_p} \right)^4 s^3 \left| \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{i}{s - m^2 + im\Gamma(m)} \right|^2 . \tag{8}$$

To get the cross section, we have to perform the summation of propagators,

$$D(\sqrt{s}) \equiv \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{i}{s - m^2 + im\Gamma(m)} \ . \tag{9}$$

Mass splittings between different excitation modes are at most $1/R$. If we set $M_f = 1$ TeV and $\delta = 4$, then we have $1/R = 30$ keV, which is very small compared to the center mass energy $\sqrt{s}$. Therefore, we can approximate the summation as an intergration over mass and we can carry it out explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\sqrt{s}) \ &\approx \ \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm \ \rho(m) \ \frac{i}{s - m^2 + im\Gamma(m)} \\ &= \ -\frac{i}{2} S_3 \frac{\bar{M}_p^2}{M_f^4} \left[\alpha^2 + y^2 \ln \left[\frac{|y^2 - \alpha^2|}{y^2} \right] \right] + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where S_3 is the surface of three-dimensional unit sphere, $x = M_f/\bar{M}_p$, $y = \sqrt{s}/M_f$, and

$$\rho(m)dm = S_3 \frac{\bar{M}_p^2}{M_f^6} m^3 dm \tag{11}$$

is the number of KK modes with its mass between m and $m + dm$. Here we put the cutoff scale to be αM_f, where α is a cutoff parameter ($\sqrt{s}, |\vec{n}|/R \leq \alpha M_f$). After substituting eq.(10) in eq.(8), we get the cross section

$$\sigma_\Gamma \simeq \frac{S_3^2}{2^{11}\pi} \frac{g^4}{M_f^8} s^3 \left(\alpha^2 + \ln \left[\left| y^2 - \alpha^2 \right| y^2 \right] \right)^2 \ . \tag{12}$$

More generally, the leading term of the cross section in the case of the δ-dimensional extra space is

$$\sigma_\Gamma \simeq \frac{1}{2^9 \pi} \left(\frac{S_{\delta-1}}{\delta - 2} \right)^2 \frac{g^4}{M_f^8} s^3 \alpha^{2(\delta-2)} \ , \ (y^2 \leq \alpha^2) \tag{13}$$

if $\delta > 2$. The cross section in eq.(13) reproduces the result that we get using the $i\epsilon$ method or the principal value integration of the propagators to regularize the on-shell pole divergence of KK scalars (for more details, see Ref. [17])[2]. This cross section has two problems. Firstly, it strongly depends on the cutoff parameter α. Secondly, this cross section does not contain the on-shell contribution from KK scalars in intermediate state. The cross section of the on-shell contribution to the two-body scattering via the KK scalars is given in eq.(14). In fact, as we will see below, the on-shell contribution of KK scalars is canceled out upon integration over mass, and the heaviest mode of the KK graviton, whose mass is αM_f, gives the dominant contribution. In the next subsection, to grasp the details of these problems, we will discuss the narrow-width approximation for the two-body scattering process via the KK scalars.

---

[2] The leading terms in the two methods correspond the result assuming $\sqrt{s}$ and $\Gamma(m)$ in the denominator in eq.(9) to be zero.

B. Narrow width approximation

To discuss whether the two features of the cross section are physical or unphysical, let us next see whether the narrow width approximation is satisfied in the virtual KK scalar exchange process. The narrow width approximation eq.(2) is expected to be satisfied for cross sections of production processes of decaying particles, in which a particle X is in intermediate state, if (i) X can be on-shell in intermediate state, and (ii) the decay width Γ_X of the particle is small enough compared to its mass m_X ($\Gamma_X/m_X \ll 1$). For example, a cross section of the muon production and its decay process can be approximated by a product of the real muon production cross section and its branching ratio.

In case of the two-body scattering process in the ADD model, KK gravitons satisfy these two conditions: (i) KK scalars can be on-shell for any value of $\sqrt{s} > 1/R$, and (ii) the decay width of KK scalars is small enough ($\Gamma(m)/m \sim m^2/\bar{M}_p^2 \ll 1$). Therefore, the narrow width approximation should be valid for the two-body scattering process via KK scalars[3].

In the toy model, the inclusive cross section of KK scalars production is given by

$$\sigma(\chi\chi \to \phi) = g^2 \frac{\pi S_{\delta-1}}{2^3} \frac{\sqrt{s}^{\delta}}{M_f^{2+\delta}} \ . \tag{14}$$

If $\delta \leq 6$, the cross section $\sigma_\Gamma(\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi')$ of two-body scattering process in eq.(13) is much smaller than the cross section $\sigma(\chi\chi \to \phi)$ in eq.(14) , because the ratio of the two cross sections is extremely smaller than one:

$$\frac{\sigma_\Gamma(\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi')}{\sigma(\chi\chi \to \phi)} \sim g^2 \alpha^{2(\delta-2)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{M_f}\right)^{6-\delta} \ll 1 \ , \ (\delta \leq 6), \tag{15}$$

or

$$\sigma_\Gamma(\chi\chi \to \chi'\chi') \ll \sigma(\chi\chi \to \phi) \times Br(\phi \to \chi'\chi') \ , \tag{16}$$

where the branching ratio $Br(\phi \to \chi'\chi') = 1/2$. Even though the two-body scattering process includes the real KK scalar production process, the cross section of two-body scattering is much smaller than that of the real KK scalar production process, and the narrow width approximation is not valid.

C. Over counting problem

In the previous subsection, we saw that the narrow width approximation is not satisfied for the virtual KK graviton exchanges. The reason why the narrow width approximation fails is because of cancellation of the on-shell contribution from KK gravitons in the intermediate state. The integrand

---

[3] Note that in case of the SM, we can not divide cross sections of two-body scattering process into the two parts: a real particle production from two bodys and its decay. The production cross sections are zero at almost all the values of $\sqrt{s}$, because the phase space of a particle production from two bodys in the center of mass frame is just a point. On the other hand, in case of the ADD model, the phase space of one KK graviton production from two SM particles is also a point, but the inclusive production cross section is non-zero at any value of $\sqrt{s} > 0$. From the higher dimensional point of view, the phase space of the higher dimensional graviton production from two SM particles is not a point. Lack of the momentum conservation law in extra dimensions makes the volume of phase space finite.

$$\rho(m)\frac{1}{s-m^2+im\Gamma(m)} \tag{17}$$

of the integration over mass in eq.(10) has a sharp peak at $m=\sqrt{s}$, and the value of this function is switch from the plus peak to the minus peak at $m=\sqrt{s}$. Therefore, the on-shell contribution from the peak at $m=\sqrt{s}$ is canceled out upon integration over mass, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(\sqrt{s}) &\simeq \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm\ \rho(m)\frac{1}{-m^2} \\ &= -\frac{\bar{M}_p^2}{M_f^{2+\delta}}\frac{(\alpha M_f)^{\delta-2}}{\delta-2} \\ &\propto \rho(m=\alpha M_f)\frac{1}{-(\alpha M_f)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The cross section of the two-body scattering process is then

$$\sigma_\Gamma(\chi\chi\to\chi'\chi') \propto \left|\rho(m=\alpha M_f)\frac{1}{-(\alpha M_f)^2}\right|^2 . \tag{19}$$

This result implies that the heaviest mode of the KK graviton, whose mass is αM_f, gives the dominant contribution to the two-body scattering, no matter whatever value $\sqrt{s}(>1/R)$ may have. Thus the narrow width approximation is not valid, and the cross section depends strongly on the cutoff parameter α.

We can regard this problem as an over counting problem in the following way. The cross section of the virtual KK graviton exchanges σ_Γ is proportional to the integration

$$\sigma_\Gamma \propto \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_1 \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_2\ \rho(m_1)\rho(m_2)\mathcal{M}_\Gamma(m_1)\mathcal{M}_\Gamma^\dagger(m_2)\ , \tag{20}$$

where the $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma(m)$ is an amplitude of the exchange process of the virtual KK graviton with mass m. Since the integration over mass corresponds to the phase space integration in the extra dimensions, the double integration in eq.(20) includes a double counting of the phase space in the extra dimensions. If there were no double counting (or no interference terms between KK gravitons), the problem would not appear in the virtual KK graviton exchange process. In fact, such double counting of the phase space never appears in any process of the SM because of the conservation law of the 4-momentum.

D. Case of the process with more than two final states

In the previous subsections, we discussed only the two-body scattering processes, but in other process of the virtual KK graviton exchanges, for example

$$\begin{array}{l} pp \to \text{jet} + G \\ \hspace{5.5em} \llcorner\!\!\longrightarrow l\bar{l} \end{array}$$

we can see the same problem. In case that the number of final states is three, it can be shown that a fake enhancement of the on-shell contribution occurs under the integration over mass, and the cross section becomes unphysically huge compared to the cross section of that of the real KK graviton production process, for example $pp \to \text{jet} + \text{missing}$.

The cross section σ_Γ of the virtual KK graviton exchange processes with the three final states, like $pp \to (\text{jet} + G) \to \text{jet} + l\bar{l}$, is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\Gamma &= \sum_{|\vec{n_1}|,\ |\vec{n_2}|<\ \alpha M_f R} \sigma_\Gamma(\vec{n_1}, \vec{n_2}) \\ &\approx \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_1 \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_2\ \rho(m_1)\rho(m_2)\ \sigma_\Gamma(m_1, m_2)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In eq.(21), $\sigma_\Gamma(m_1, m_2)$ stands for the value of the three-dimensional phase space integral of square of the absolute value of the amplitude of the process. On the other hand, the cross section σ_{real} of the real KK graviton production processes which are included in such a virtual KK graviton exchange process, like $pp \to \text{jet} + \text{missing}$, is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\text{real}} &= \sum_{|\vec{n}|<\ \sqrt{s}R} \sigma_{\text{real}}(\vec{n}) \\ &\approx \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} dm\ \rho(m)\ \sigma_{\text{real}}(m)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{real}}(m)$ stands for the production cross section of the one KK graviton with mass m. We can separate σ_Γ into the two parts

$$\sigma_\Gamma = \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 \neq m_2}} + \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}}\ , \tag{23}$$

where $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 \neq m_2}}$ and $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}}$ are given as follows:

$$\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 \neq m_2}} = \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_1 \int_0^{m_1} dm_2\ \rho(m_1)\rho(m_2)\ \sigma_\Gamma(m_1, m_2)\ , \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}} &= \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_1 \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm_2\ \rho(m_1)\rho(m_2)\ \sigma_\Gamma(m_1, m_2)\delta(|\vec{n_1}| - |\vec{n_2}|) \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm\ \rho^2(m) \times \frac{1}{R}\ \sigma_\Gamma(m, m)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In eq.(23), $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 \neq m_2}}$ represents the contribution from the interference terms between KK gravitons whose mass are different and $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}}$ is composed of the two contributions: one from the real KK gravitations propagation and from the interference terms between the KK gravitons whose mass are the same. The $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}}$ gives dominant contribution for the σ_Γ. Changing the integration variable m to the absolute value $|\vec{n}|$ of the KK index, $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}}$ and σ_{real} are expressed as

$$\sigma_{\text{real}} = \int_0^{\sqrt{s}R} d\,|\vec{n}|\ \ \rho(|\vec{n}|)\ \sigma_{\text{real}}(m) \tag{26}$$

$$\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1 = m_2}} = \int_0^{\alpha M_f R} d\,|\vec{n}|\ \ \rho^2(|\vec{n}|)\ \sigma_\Gamma(m, m)\ , \tag{27}$$

where

$$\rho(|\vec{n}|) = S_\delta \; m^{\delta-1} R^{\delta-1} \tag{28}$$

is the multiplicity of the KK gravitons with mass $m = |\vec{n}|/R$, and the integrand in eq.(27) is proportional to the factor $\rho^2(|\vec{n}|)$, because all the KK gravitons with the same mass $|\vec{n}|$ equally contribute,

Furthermore, $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1=m_2}}$ is decomposed as the two contributions, one from the KK graviton propagations (the diagonal part) and one from the interference terms between the KK gravitons whose mass are the same (the off-diagonal part):

$$\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{m_1=m_2}} = \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{diag}} + \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{off-diag}} \;, \tag{29}$$

where $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{diag}}$ and $\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{off-diag}}$, the contributions from the KK gravitons propagations and from the interference terms, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{diag}} &= \sum_{|\vec{n_1}|,\; |\vec{n_2}|< \;\alpha M_f R} \sigma_\Gamma(\vec{n_1}, \vec{n_2}) \; \delta^{\vec{n_1},\vec{n_2}} \\ &\approx \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm \; \rho(m) \sigma_\Gamma(m,m) \;, \qquad (30) \\ \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{off-diag}} &\approx \int_0^{\alpha M_f} dm \;\; \big(\rho^2(m) - \rho(m)\big) \, \sigma_\Gamma(m,m) \;. \qquad (31) \end{aligned}$$

We can regard $\sigma_\Gamma(m,m)$ as the production cross section of one KK graviton with mass m because

$$\sigma_\Gamma(m,m) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathrm{real}}(m) \times \left[Br(\text{KK decay}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Gamma(m)}{m}\right)\right] & (\text{if } m \leq \sqrt{s}) \\ \sigma_{\mathrm{real}}(m) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Gamma(m)}{m}\right) & (\text{if } m > \sqrt{s}) \;, \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

where Br(KK decay) is a branching ratio of the decay channel of the KK gravitons which is included in the full process. The first equation in eq.(32) is exactly the expression of the narrow width approximation for the one KK graviton exchange process. Substituting eq.(32) into eq.(30), we get

$$\sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{diag}} \simeq \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} dm \; \rho(m) \sigma_{\mathrm{real}}(m) \times Br(\text{KK decay}) \;. \tag{33}$$

If this were the whole contribution, then the narrow width approximation would be satisfied. However, it can be shown that σ_Γ is dominated by the contribution from the interference terms:

$$\sigma_\Gamma \simeq \sigma_\Gamma^{\mathrm{off-diag}} \simeq \int_0^{\sqrt{s}R} d\,|\vec{n}| \;\; \big(\rho^2(|\vec{n}|) - \rho(|\vec{n}|)\big) \;\; \sigma_{\mathrm{real}}(m) \times Br(\text{KK decay}) \;, \tag{34}$$

and we get the ratio of the cross section of σ_Γ to σ_{real}

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_\Gamma}{\sigma_{\mathrm{real}}} &\sim \; \rho(|\vec{n}| = \sqrt{s}R) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\bar{M}_p^{2-\frac{2}{\delta}}}{M_f^{(2+\delta)\left(1-\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}} \sqrt{s}^{\delta-1} \right) \;, \qquad (35) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(|\vec{n}| = \sqrt{s}R)$ is the number of the KK gravitons with mass $m = \sqrt{s}$.

Thus we find that the narrow width approximation is broken. This is because the interferences between the KK gravitons whose mass are same are mistaken for the propagations of the real KK gravitons, and the cross section of the virtual KK graviton exchange σ_Γ get to be huge compared to the KK graviton production cross section $\sigma_{\rm real}$.

As compared with the case of the two-body scattering, there is a difference in the representation of the over counting problem. In case the virtual KK graviton exchanges with the three final states, the over counting appears not only in the virtual KK gravitons but also in the contribution from the on-shell KK gravitons production, although in case of the two-body scattering, it appears in the most heaviest KK gravitons production and on-shell contribution of the KK gravitons is canceled out. This is because, in the case with the three final states, the pole of the propagators of the KK gravitons are taken care of with the three-dimensional phase space integral (not the mass integration). In the case with more than three final state, first we can take care of the on-shell pole of the KK gravitons with the three-dimensional phase space integral, and calculate the on-shell contribution and the off-shell contribution of the KK gravitons correctly. Therefore, the on-shell contribution of the KK gravitons are not canceled, and the over counting also appears in the contribution from the on-shell KK graviton productions.

In case that the number of final states is more than three, we find a similar fake enhancement of the on-shell contribution and the cross section again becomes unphysically huge compared to the cross section of that of the real KK graviton production process.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have pointed out that the the cross sections of the virtual KK graviton exchanges in the ADD model have pathological behaviors. We have shown that the narrow width approximation is not valid for the cross section of the two-body scattering process via the KK gravitons. The cross section of the two-body scattering process get to be unphysically small compared to that of the real KK graviton production process which is included in such a process, and strongly depends on the cutoff parameter α.

The cause of this problem lies in the over counting of the phase space in the extra dimensions due to the violation of the momentum conservation in the extra dimensions. If there were no double counting, the problem would not appear in the virtual KK graviton exchange process.

To search for the ADD model (or the large extra dimensions) with collider experiments, we need more care in evaluation of the virtual KK graviton exchange process.

Acknowledgments

I received generous support from O. Yasuda and N. Kitazawa. I would like to thank T. Yamashita, M. B. Gavela, S. Matsumoto and S. C. Park for insightful comments and suggestions.

---

[1] I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. B **246**, 377 (1990).

[2] I. Antoniadis, C. Munoz and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B **397**, 515 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9211309].

[3] I. Antoniadis and K. Benakli, Phys. Lett. B **326**, 69 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9310151].

[4] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398].

[5] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315].

[6] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 086004 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807344].

[7] M. Bando, T. Kugo, T. Noguchi and K. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3601 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906549].

[8] S. Cullen and M. Perelstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 268 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9903422].

[9] V. D. Barger, T. Han, C. Kao and R. J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **461**, 34 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905474].

[10] E. A. Mirabelli, M. Perelstein and M. E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2236 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9811337].

[11] L. Vacavant and I. Hinchliffe, J. Phys. G **27**, 1839 (2001).

[12] J. Weng, G. Quast, C. Saout, A. De Roeck and M. Spiropulu, CERN-CMS-NOTE-2006-129

[13] J. L. Hewett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4765 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9811356].

[14] V. Kabachenko, A. Miagkov and A. Zenin, ATL-PHYS-2001-012

[15] I. Belotelov *et al.* CMS NOTE 2006/076

[16] G. F. Giudice, R. Rattazzi and J. D. Wells, Nucl. Phys. B **544**, 3 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9811291].

[17] T. Han, J. D. Lykken and R. J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 105006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9811350].

[18] D. Berdine, N. Kauer and D. Rainwater, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 111601 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0703058].